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Abstract: Online mining changes over data streams has been recognized to be an important task in data mining. Mining changes over data streams is both compelling and challenging. In this paper, we propose a new, single-pass algorithm, called MFC-append (Mining Frequency Changes of append-only data streams), for discovering the frequent frequency-changed items, vibrated frequency changed items, and stable frequency changed items over continuous append-only data streams. A new summary data structure, called Change-Sketch, is developed to compute the frequency changes between two continuous data streams as fast as possible. Moreover, a MFC-append-based algorithm, called MFC-dynamic (Mining Frequency Changes of dynamic data streams), is proposed to find the frequency changes over dynamic data streams. Theoretical analysis and experimental results show that our algorithms meet the major performance requirements, namely single-pass, bounded space requirement, and real-time computing, in mining data streams.
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1 Introduction

In recent years, database and knowledge discovery communities have focused on a new data model, where data arrives in the form of continuous streams [Babcock, 02] [Golab, 03]. It is often referred to as data streams or streaming data. A data stream is a massive, open-ended sequence of data elements continuously generated at a rapid rate. Many real-world applications generate large amount of data streams in real time, such as sensor data generated from sensor network, transaction flows in retail chains, Web record and click-streams in various Web applications, performance measurement in network monitoring and traffic management, call records in telecommunications, etc.

Online mining of frequent items (or item-sets) over data streams for knowledge discovery has become a novel and rapidly growing research direction [Charilar, 02]
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[Demaine, 02] [Ganti, 02] [Manku, 02] [Cormode, 03] [Giannella, 03] [Jin, 03] [Karp, 03] [Li, 04] [Li, 05], and has posed new challenges [Babcock, 02] [Golab, 03]: First, each data element over data streams should be examined at most once. Second, the memory usage in the process of mining data streams should be bounded even though new data elements are continuously generated from the stream. Third, each data element in the stream should be processed at fast as possible. Fourth, the analytical results generated by the online algorithms should be instantly available when user requested. Finally, the errors of results should be constricted as small as possible. Consequently, the continuous nature of data streams makes it essential to use the online algorithms which require only single scan over the stream for knowledge discovery. The unbounded nature makes it impossible to store all the data into main memory or even secondary storage. This motivates the design of the in-memory summary data structure with small footprints that can support both one-time and continuous queries. In other words, single-pass data stream mining algorithms have to sacrifice the correctness of its analytical results by allowing some counting errors. Hence, traditional multiple-pass data mining algorithms studied for static datasets cannot be easily used in such a streaming environment.

Change mining on static datasets has been studied in the last ten years [Dong, 99] [Ganti, 99] [Liu, 00] [Dong, 03]. It has become one of the core sub-areas of data mining. Ganti et al. [Ganti, 99] proposed a framework for quantifying the deviation of the induced models, such as two decision tree classifiers, clusters, and frequent itemsets, in the large datasets. The quantify measure is the amount of work required to transform one model into the other. Dong et al. [Dong, 99] proposed an algorithm to find the emerging patterns, and used these patterns to characterize the changes from one dataset to the other. Liu et al. [Liu, 00] proposed a method to discover the changes in the new data with respect to the old data, and the old decision tree models, and generate the exact changes that have occurred to the user. These studies are focused on the effects of data changes on data mining models and algorithms, whereas the paper is focus on the problem of measuring and understanding the changes of data directly rather than measuring the effects on data mining models. Furthermore, the proposed algorithms, MFC-append and MFC-dynamic, discover the changes of items over continuous data streams, not in static datasets.

The motivation of the problem of online mining changes of items between distributed data streams comes from the context of online transaction flows in large organizations. These companies generate the millions of records every day. For example, Google handles 70-110 millions searches, AT&T produces 250-300 million call records, and WallMart which is composed of thousands of stores, and records 20-40 million transactions in a single day. With the computation model of distributed data streams presented in Figure 1, a data stream processor and the in-memory summary data structure are two major components in the distributed data streaming environment. The streams in questions are sequences of transaction data which is composed of the records in the form of <StoreID, Timestamp, TransactionID, Items>. In other words, a transaction record is a purchasing log generated by a customer in a specific time and store. These transaction flows sent to the server, and we are interested in finding the frequent frequency changes in items between pairs of data streams purchased by the most customers in some period of time. Note that the buffer
mechanism can be optionally set for temporary storage of recent transactions from the transaction data streams.

In this paper, we study the problem of online mining frequent frequency changes of items between pairs of continuous, high-volume, open-ended data streams. The summary of our results are described as follows. Three types of frequency change are proposed: frequent changed-item (or FCI in short), vibrated frequent changed-item (or VFCI in short), and stable frequent changed-item (or SFCI in short). A new summary data structure, called change-sketch, is developed to store the essential information over the pairs of data streams. Two single-pass algorithms, MFC-append and MFC-dynamic, are proposed to find the patterns over data streams. The best space bound we achieve for this problem is $\Omega(m \log(n/m))$, where $n$ is the union size of two data streams, and $m$ is the size of the working bucket for frequent changed-items mining. Moreover, the proposed algorithms take $O(\log(n/m))$ time in worst case to process each new data element, but only $O(1)$ amortized time per data element.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. The data stream model and problem definition are discussed in Section 2. Algorithms MFC-append and MFC-dynamic are described in Section 3. Performance evaluation is presented in Section 4. Section 5 concludes the study.

Figure 1: Processing model of distributed data streams

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we discuss the data stream model, the definition of online data mining for changes of items, and the goal of the proposed algorithms.

2.1 Data Stream Model

Let $\Psi = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m\}$ be a set of literals, called data items (or items in short). A data stream is an infinite sequence of data items, where the items arrive in some order, and may be seen only once. It is also referred to as item-stream. In the item-stream model, we focus on two performance issues: workspace required in main memory, which is measured as a function of the input union size $n$ of two data streams, and the time to process an incoming data item over the streams.
In this paper, we assume that the data arrives in the unordered\(^1\) form, and the same value can appear multiple times within the streaming data. This is termed the unordered cash register, unordered aggregated model [Babcock, 02] [Golab, 03].

**Definition 1.** A data stream is called an append-only data stream (or ADS in short) if it has no updates and deletions; a data stream is called a dynamic data stream (or DDS in short) if there are removal as well as addition of data items.

### 2.2 Problem Statement

Let \(\Psi = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m\}\) be a set of data items. Two parallel item-streams are \(P = <p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_i, \ldots>\) and \(Q = <q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_j, \ldots>\) with time-varying data rates, where \(p_i, q_j \in \Psi\).

**Definition 2.** The frequency of a data item \(x\) in an item-stream \(S\) over a time period \(T\) is the number of items in \(T\) in which \(x\) occurs, and is denoted as \(\text{frequency}(x, S, T)\).

**Definition 3.** The changed support of a data item \(x\) is the difference in frequency between two data streams \(P\) and \(Q\) divided by the total data items observed in \(T\), and is denoted as \(\text{changeSup}(x, T)\).

**Definition 4.** The changed rate of a data item \(x\) is the number of frequency vibration\(^2\) divided by the total time-points observed in \(T\), and is denoted as \(\text{changeRate}(x, T)\), where the time-point is a basic unit of time over which the system collects data, e.g., second or minute.

**Definition 5.** A data item \(x\) is called a frequent frequency changed item (or FFCI in short) if \(\text{changeSup}(x, T) \geq \text{mcs}\), where \(\text{mcs}\) is a user-defined minimum changed support threshold in the range of \([0, 1]\); it is a sub-frequent frequency changed item (or SFFCI in short) if \(\text{ase} \leq \text{changeSup}(x, T) < \text{mcs}\), where \(\text{ase}\) is a user-defined approximate support error threshold in the range of \([0, \text{mcs}]\); it is an infrequent frequency changed item (or IFFCI in short) if \(\text{changeSup}(x, T) < \text{ase}\).

**Definition 6.** A data item \(x\) over a time period \(T\) is called a vibrated frequency changed item (or VFCI in short) if its changed rate and changed support are greater than or equal to a user-defined minimum changed rate (or \(\text{mincr}\) in short) and \(\text{ase}\), respectively; it is a stable frequency changed item (or SFCI in short) if its changed rate is less than a user-specified maximal changed rate (or \(\text{maxcr}\) in short), and \(\text{changeSup}(x, T) \geq \text{mcs}\), where \(\text{mincr}\) is a real number in the range of \([0, 1]\) and \(\text{maxcr} > \text{mincr}\).

---

1. The streaming data items from various domains arrive in no particular order and without any preprocessing.
2. Frequency vibration is the ratio of frequency change which exceeds a user-specified threshold, vibrate rate. In this paper, we assume that the rate is 100% for simplicity, i.e., frequency vibration is a frequency change from positive one to negative one, or vice versa.
For example, there are ten time-points \( T = [t_1; t_{10}] \), where \( t_1 \) is the starting time-point and \( t_{10} \) is the current time-point) in Figure 2, and we assume that \( \text{mincr} = 0.1 \), and \( \text{maxcr} = 0.5 \). In Figure 2, data item \( a \) and \( b \) are VFCIs, where changeRate\((a, T) = 9/10 = 0.9 > 0.5 \), and changeRate\((b, T) = 6/10 = 0.6 > 0.5 \), and items \( c, d, e \) are SFCIs, where changeRate\((c, T) = 0/10 = 0 \leq 0.1 \), changeRate\((d, T) = 0/10 = 0 \leq 0.1 \), and changeRate\((e, T) = 1/10 = 0.1 \leq 0.1 \).

The goal of this paper is to find the changes of items (FFCIs, VFCIs, and SFCIs) over the pairs of data streams (either in ADS or DDS).

2.3 Performance Requirements in Mining Data Streams

The main design issues in mining data streams are:

1. **Online, one-pass algorithm:** each transaction of a data stream is examined at most once.
2. **Limited space requirement:** bounded memory requirement for storing crucial, compressed information in the summary data structure.
3. **Real-time:** per data element must be processed as fast as possible.

Our algorithms have all of these characteristics described above, while none of previously published methods can claim the same [Dong, 99] [Ganti, 99] [Liu, 00] [Dong, 03].

![Figure 2: Examples of VFCIs and SFCIs](image)

### 3 Online Mining Changes of Items over Distributed ADSs

In this section, a new summary data structure, called Change-Sketch, is developed to maintain the essential information about the set of all FFCIs, VFCIs, and SFCIs embedded in the data streams. A deterministic single-pass algorithm \( \text{MFC-append} \) (Mining Frequency Changes of append-only data streams) is proposed to find the changes of items over the pairs of data streams. The proposed algorithm uses at most
m \log(n/m) \text{ space, where } n \text{ is the union size of the estimated data streams, and } m \text{ is the size of working bucket.}

### 3.1 A New Summary Data Structure Change-Sketch

The proposed in-memory summary data structure, called Change-Sketch, is a list of entries of the form \((q, q\text{.count}, q\text{.w}_id, q\text{.rate})\), where \(q\) is a data item in the streams, \(q\text{.count}\) is an integer representing its estimated support, the value of \(q\text{.w}_id\) assigned to a new entry \(q\) is the window identifier of current window, and \(q\text{.rate}\) is the number of frequency vibration of item \(q\). An item \(q\) is stored in the current Change-Sketch if \(q\text{.count} \geq \text{ase} \cdot \text{mcs} \cdot (w_{current-id} - q\text{.w}_id)\), where \(m\) is the window size and \(m = \lceil 1/\text{ase} \rceil\). Note that the parameter \text{ase} is an acronym of the user-specified approximate support error threshold.

Two operations are used to maintain the structure Change-Sketch:

1. **Update Change:** For each entry \((q, q\text{.count}, q\text{.w}_id, q\text{.rate}) \in \text{Change-Sketch}\), MFC-append increases \(q\text{.count}\) by computing the frequency changes of \(q\) in the current window. If the updated entry \(q\) take place a frequency vibration, its \(q\text{.rate}\) is increased by one. If the changed support of updated entry \(q\) is less than the user-specified minimum changed support threshold \text{mcs}, the entry is deleted from the current Change-Sketch.

2. **New Change:** If an item \(p \notin \text{Change-Sketch}\), and its changed support is larger than or equal to the threshold \(\text{ase} \cdot \text{m} \cdot (w_{current-id} - p\text{.w}_id)\), a new entry of the form \((p, 1, p\text{.w}_id, 0)\) is created into the current Change-Sketch.

### 3.2 Algorithm MFC-append

Algorithm MFC-append uses the notations and conventions illustrated in Figure 3. In the framework of mining changes of items over data streams, the streaming data is broken into fixed sized buckets \(B_1, B_2, ..., B_n, B_N\), where \(B_N\) is the “latest” bucket with bucket identifier \(N\), and \(B_1\) is the “oldest” one. Note that each bucket contains \(k\) items. The bucket length from \(B_i\) to \(B_j\) is denoted as \(B(i, j)\), where \(i \geq j\). Let \(t_1, t_2, ..., t_n\) be the timepoints (the smallest unit of time) which group the buckets so far in the streams, where \(t_n\) is the most recent timepoint, and \(t_1\) is the oldest one. The form of bucket \(B_i\) is \((\text{StreamID}, t_i, \text{items})\), where \(t_i\) is the timepoint when the \text{items} appeared in the stream with identifier \text{StreamID}.

The window-id of \(t_i\) is denoted as \(w_i\), and the number of buckets arrived from \(t_{k,1}\) to \(t_{k,n}\) is \(w_{k,1}\), and the number of items (i.e., size) in \(w_i\) is denoted as \(w_i\). The size of buckets arrived in \(T\) equals \(w_{k,1} + w_{k,2} + \cdots + w_{k,n}\). As described above, the goal of this paper is to find the set of all FFCIs, VFCIs, and SFCIs in a time period \(T = t_k \cup t_{k+1} \cup \cdots \cup t_n, \forall k = 1, 2, ..., n\). Hence, the pair of input data streams \(P = w_1[B_{P_1} + B_{P_2} + \cdots + B_{P_{i_k}}] + w_2[B_{P_{i_k+1}} + B_{P_{i_k+2}} + \cdots + B_{P_{j_k}}] + \cdots + w_m[B_{P_{j_k}} + B_{P_{j_k+1}} + \cdots + B_{P_{j_m}}] + w_i[B_{Q_{i,k+1}} + B_{Q_{i,k+2}} + \cdots + B_{Q_{i,m}}] + \cdots + w_n[B_{Q_{n,k}} + B_{Q_{n,k+1}} + \cdots + B_{Q_{n,m}}] + w_{n+1}[B_{StreamID}] + B_{StreamID}p + \cdots + B_{StreamIDn}\). The notation \(w[B_{StreamID}] + B_{StreamIDp} + \cdots + B_{StreamIDn}\) denotes that the buckets of data stream with id \text{StreamID} arrived at timepoint \(t_n\) and
the “latest” bucket id is denoted as $B_{\text{Stream}D_{\text{current}}}$, whose value is $\lceil n/m \rceil + 1$. For example, there are five buckets in the first window $w_1$ of Figure 1, in which two buckets ($B_P_1$ and $B_P_2$) in stream $P$, and three buckets ($B_Q_1$, $B_Q_2$, and $B_Q_3$) in stream $Q$.

![Figure 3: Notations and conventions used in the proposed algorithms](image)

The algorithm description of MFC-append is shown in Figure 4. Four parameters are used in MFC-append algorithm: $mcs$, $ase$, $maxcr$, and $mincr$, where $mcs$ is an acronym of the minimum changed support threshold, $ase$ is an acronym of the approximate error support threshold, $maxcr$ is an acronym of the maximum changed rate, and $mincr$ is an acronym of the minimum changed rate. At any moment, a list of FFCIs with their estimated changed supports and changed rates is generated by the proposed algorithm. These approximate answers (i.e., a list of FFCIs) have the following guarantees. First, all items whose changed support exceed $mcs \cdot n$ are output, i.e., no false negative. Second, no items whose changed support is less than $(ase - mcs) \cdot n$ are output. Third, estimated changed supports are less the true changed supports by at most $ase \cdot n$. Finally, all items whose changed rate exceed $maxcr \cdot n$ or less than $mincr \cdot n$ are output, respectively.

The maintenance process of Change-Sketch is described as follows. Let the window identifier of current window be $k$. Initially, Change-Sketch is empty. For each item $q$ in the current window of item-stream $P$, MFC-append first checks Change-Sketch to see whether an entry with id $q$ already exists or not. If the entry exists in the current Change-Sketch, the frequency of $q$ (i.e., $q.count$) is increased by one. Otherwise, a new entry of the form $(q, 1, k, 0)$ is created in the current Change-Sketch. After processing all items in $w_k$ of stream $P$, MFC-append computes all the items in
Algorithm MFC-append

Input: (1) Two continuous append-only data streams, \( P = \langle p_1, p_2, ..., p_n, \ldots \rangle \) and \( Q = \langle q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_n, \ldots \rangle \) with time-varying data rate, (2) A user-defined approximate support error threshold, \( ase \), i.e., the window size \( m \) is \( \lfloor 1/ase \rfloor \), (3) A user-defined minimum support threshold, \( mcs \), (4) A user-specified maximum changed rate \( maxcr \), (5) A user-specified minimum changed rate \( mincr \).

Output: A list of FFCIs, VFCIs, and SFCIs.

Begin

\[ \text{Change-Sketch}(\cdot) \leftarrow \{ \} \]

Repeat:

for each bucket from the data streams \( P \) and \( Q \) do

for each item \( q \) in \( w_i(C_i, B_i) \) do /* \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, \lceil n/m \rceil + 1 */
  \[ \text{Change-Sketch}(q, q \cdot \text{count}+, q \cdot \text{w}_i \cdot \text{w}_r) \]
  for each item \( q \) in \( w_i(D_i, B_i) \) do
    \[ \text{Change-Sketch}(q, q \cdot \text{count}-, q \cdot \text{w}_i \cdot \text{w}_r) \]
    while \( \text{Change-Sketch}(q, q \cdot \text{count}, q \cdot \text{w}_i \cdot \text{w}_r) \neq \emptyset \)
    if \( |q \cdot \text{count}| \geq mcs \cdot m(\text{w}_i - q \cdot \text{w}_r) \) then
      item \( q \) is a frequent frequency change pattern in \( \text{Change-Sketch} \);
    else if \( |q \cdot \text{count}| \geq ase \cdot m(\text{w}_i - q \cdot \text{w}_r) \) then
      preserve \( q \) in \( \text{Change-Sketch} \);
    else remove \( q \) from \( \text{Change-Sketch} \);
    if \( q \cdot \text{w}_i \) changes its symbol (either from positive frequency to negative one or from negative one to positive one)
    then \( q \cdot \text{rate}+1+1; \]

End

Figure 4: Algorithm description of MFC-append
3.3 Space Analysis of Change-Sketch

In this section, we prove that MFC-append algorithm uses at most $O(m \log (n/m))$ space, where $n$ denotes the current length of the estimated data streams, and $m = \lceil 1/\text{ase} \rceil$ is the size of working bucket.

**Theorem 1:** The space requirement of MFC-append algorithm is $O(m \log (n/m))$.

**Proof:** Let $w_{\text{current-id}}$ be the current window-id, i.e., $w_{\text{current-id}} = \lceil n/m \rceil$, where $m$ is the size of working bucket. Let $c_i$ denote the number of items in Change-Sketch, whose window id is $w_{\text{current-id}} - i + 1$. Since the size of each working bucket is $m$, we get the following constraints:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} c_i \leq km$$ for $k = 1, 2, \ldots, w_{\text{current-id}}$. (1)

We claim that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} c_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{m}{i}$$ for $k = 1, 2, \ldots, w_{\text{current-id}}$. (2)

We prove Inequality (2) by induction on $k$. If $k = 1$, then the claim is true because $c_1 \leq m$, i.e., we prove it from Inequality (1) directly. We now assume that Inequality (2) is true for $k = 1, 2, \ldots, j-1$, and prove that this assumption implies that it is true for $k = j$. We now add Inequality (1) for $k = j$ to $j-1$ instances of Inequality (2) and we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{j} c_i + \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} c_i + \sum_{i=1}^{j-2} c_i + \cdots + \sum_{i=1}^{1} c_i \leq jm + \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \frac{m}{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{j-2} \frac{m}{i} + \cdots + \sum_{i=1}^{1} \frac{m}{i}.$$

$$\Rightarrow c_1 + 2c_2 + \cdots + (j-1)c_j + j c_j + [c_1 + (c_1 + c_2) + \cdots + (c_1 + c_2 + \cdots + c_{j-1})] \leq jm + [m + (m + m/2) + \cdots + (m + m/2 + \cdots + m/(j-1))].$$

$$\Rightarrow j c_1 + j c_2 + \cdots + j c_j + j c_j \leq jm + [(j-1)m + (j-2)m/2 + \cdots + m/(j-1)]$$

$$\Rightarrow j \sum_{i=1}^{j} c_i \leq jm + \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \frac{(j-i)m}{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \frac{(j-i)m}{i}.$$

Upon rearrangement, we get $j \sum_{i=1}^{j} c_i \leq jm + \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \frac{(j-i)m}{i}$, which then easily simplifies to Inequality (2) for $k = j$, then we can complete the induction.

Since $|\text{Change-Sketch}| = \sum_{i=1}^{w_{\text{current}}} c_i$, from Inequality (2), we get $|\text{Change-Sketch}| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{w_{\text{current}}} \frac{m}{i} \leq m \log (w_{\text{current.id}}) = m \log (n/m)$.  

\[ \square \]
Note that, if $ase \leq (1/m)$, the space is effectively $\Omega(m \log(n/m))$. If we set $ase = (d/m)$ for some small $d$, then it requires time at worst $O(m \log(n/m))$, but this occurs only every $1/m$ items, and so the total time is $O(n \log(n/m))$.

### 3.4 MFC-dynamic: Online Mining Changes of Items over Distributed DDSs

In this section, a MFC-append based-algorithm, called MFC-dynamic (Mining Frequency Changes of dynamic data streams), is proposed to mine the set of all FFCIs, VFCIs, and SFCIs over dynamic data streams. Note that a data stream is called a *dynamic data stream* (or DDS in short) if there are removal as well as addition of data items.

An effective encoding method is used in the proposed algorithm to distinguish the inserted items and deleted items over DDSs, and described as follows. If an item $q$ is an inserted item, MFC-dynamic encodes it to be a “positive” item, and denotes it as $+q$. Otherwise, the proposed algorithm encodes it to be a “negative” item, and denotes it as $-q$. After processing the encoding, MFC-append algorithm is used to find the set of all FFCIs, VFCIs, and SFCIs over dynamic data streams. Figure 5 gives the description of MFC-dynamic algorithm.

**Claim 1.** Whenever the deletions of item $p$ occurs, $\text{frequency}(p)_{\text{Deleted}} \leq \text{frequency}(p)$, where $\text{frequency}(p)_{\text{Deleted}}$ is the number of item $p$ needed to be drop.

**Claim 2.** If an item $q \notin \text{Change-Sketch}$, if and only if $|q.\text{count}| < ase \cdot m \cdot (w_{\text{current-id}} - q.w_{\text{id}})$

**Algorithm MFC-dynamic**

**Input:** (1) Two dynamic data streams, $C = \{c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_n, \ldots\}$ and $D = \{d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n, \ldots\}$ with time-varying data rate, (2) A minimum change support threshold, $mcs$, (3) An approximation support error threshold, $ase$, (4) A maximum change rate threshold, $maxcr$, (5) A minimum change rate threshold, $minicr$.

**Output:** A list of change patterns \{ $q_1$, …, $q_j$ \} over dynamic data streams.

**Begin**

```
Dynamic_Encode_Streamming_Items(C, D);
MFC-append(C, D, mcs, ase, maxcr, minicr);
```

**End**

**Procedure Dynamic_Encode_Streamming_Items(C, D);**

**Begin**

```
for each bucket $w_{c_i}$ of stream $C$ and bucket $w_{d_i}$ of stream $D$
    if the item $q$ is an inserted item then
        Set it to be a positive (+$q$) item;
    else
        Set it to be a negative ($-q$) item;
    end
```

**End**

**Figure 5 : Algorithm MFC-dynamic**
Theorem 2. The space requirement of MFC-dynamic algorithm is $O(m \log(n/m))$.

Proof: According to the pruning rule, only items with frequency $f$ or larger within the last updated $f$ windows age are not pruned. Thus, at most $m/f$ items could have been survived from that window which gives $m \sum_{i=1}^{n/m} \frac{1}{f}$ as the upper-bound on the number of items we are keeping track of. Now, using the well know inequality $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{i} \leq \log(n)$, the result follows directly.

\[ \square \]

4 Performance Evaluation

4.1 Synthetic Data Generation

In the experiments of MFC-append, we generated three datasets $|D|$ of 10,000, 100,000, and 1,000,000 transactions of single-item, and searched for frequent frequency changes while varying the Zipf parameter from 0 (uniform) to 3 (highly skewed), and the $ase$ from 1% to 0.001%.

In order to evaluate algorithm MFC-dynamic, the generation approach of synthetic data was modified from [Cormode, 03]. The generated data consists of three parts: first, a sequence of insertions distributed uniformly over a small range; next, a sequence of inserts was drawn from a Zipf distribution with varying parameter (from 0 to 3); lastly, a sequence of deletes was distributed uniformly over the same range as the starting sequence. We examine MFC-dynamic in the fourth dataset of 1,000,000 transactions of single-item, Zipf parameter from 0 to 3, and $ase$ from 1% to 0.001%.

Table 1 summarizes the meaning of various parameters used in our experiments.

| $|D|$ | Number of transactions of single item in data streams. |
| --- | -------------------------------------------------------- |
| $ase$ | Approximate error support. |
| $mcs$ | Minimum changed support. |
| $maxcr$ | Maximum change rate. |
| $mincr$ | Minimum change rate. |
| $Zipf$ | From 0 (uniform) to around 3 (highly skewed). |

4.2 Experimental Results

In this following experimental testing (results as Figure 6 and Figure 9), we use threshold $mcs = 0.01$, and $ase = 0.1 - mcs$. First, we computed recall and precision for MFC-append, with the results shown in Figure 6. In this Figure, we can see that MFC-append algorithm has excellent precision (0.90-1) and recall (0.6-0.8) on the synthetic data $|D|=10,000$ transactions, and the recall decreases as the parameter $ase$ increases, while the precision increases as the $ase$ decreases. An important
observation is that the Zipf parameter (from 0 to 3) does not affect the recall and precision of \textit{MFC-append}.

In Figure 7, we can see that \textit{MFC-append} has precision (0.93-1) and recall (0.57-0.76) on the synthetic data $|D|=100,000$ transactions. In Figure 8, we can see that \textit{MFC-append} has precision (0.92-1) and recall (0.51-0.71) on the synthetic data $|D|=1,000,000$ transactions.

In Figure 9, we can see that the \textit{MFC-dynamic} has the similar experimental results as algorithm \textit{MFC-append}. The recall increases as the $ase$ decreases while the precision decreases as the $ase$ increases, and the various Zipf parameters do not influence the recall and precision of \textit{MFC-dynamic}.

![Recall on Synthetic Data ($|D|=10^4$ transactions) for \textit{MFC-append}. Left: testing recall (proportion of the frequent change patterns reported). Right: testing precision (proportion of the output frequency change patterns which are frequent)](image1)

![Recall on Synthetic Data ($|D|=10^5$ transactions) for \textit{MFC-append}. Left: testing recall. Right: testing precision](image2)
Figure 8: Experiments on synthetic data ($10^6$ transactions) for MFC-append. Left: testing recall. Right: testing precision

Figure 9: Experiments on synthetic data ($10^6$ transactions) for MFC-dynamic. Left: testing recall. Right: testing precision

5 Conclusions

In this paper, we propose two single-pass algorithms, called MFC-append and MFC-dynamic, for mining frequent frequency changed items, vibrated frequency changed items, and stable frequency changed items over continuous append-only and dynamic data streams, respectively. A new summary data structure, called Change-Sketch, is developed to store the essential changed patterns of data streams. The space complexity of Change-Sketch is $O(m \log(n/m))$, and the proposed algorithms take $O(\log(n/m))$ time in worst case to compute each new arrived item, but only $O(1)$ amortized time per item. The experimental results show that our algorithms have linear scalability and high accuracy in the analytical outputs.
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