

參考文獻

一、 中文部分

- 尤婷靜，2003。〈影響網路報稅接受意向之關鍵因素：三種理論模式之比較〉，中正大學資訊管理學系碩士論文。
- 行政院研究發展考核委員會，2005。《九十四年數位落差調查報告初稿》。
- 李敏正，2003。〈延伸計劃行為理論以預測WWW持續使用之研究〉，高雄第一科技大學資訊管理學系碩士論文。
- 李政忠，2004。〈網路調查所面臨的問題與解決建議〉，《資訊社會研究》，第6期，頁1-24。
- 周文賢，2002。《多變量統計分析》，台北：智勝。
- 邱皓政，2005。《結構方程模式—LISREL的理論、技術與應用》，台北：雙葉書廊。
- 徐千偉，2000。〈網際網路與公民參與：台北市政府網路個案分析〉，政治大學公共行政學系碩士論文。
- 張明貴 譯，1993。《自由民主的經驗與時代》，C.B. Macpherson 原著，台北：桂冠。
- 項 靖，1997。〈線上政府：初探全球資訊網與台灣地區地方政府行政〉，收錄於行政發展與地方政府競爭力之提昇研討會論文集。
- 項 靖，1999。〈地方政府網路公共論壇與民主行政之實踐〉，《東海社會科學學報》，第18期，頁149-178。
- 項靖、翁芳怡，2000。〈我國政府網路民意論壇版面使用者滿意度之實證研究〉，《公共行政學報》，第4期，頁259-285。
- 黃東益、黃佳珊，2003。〈地方政府『數位民主』機制—以台灣省二十一縣市政府網站為例〉，《法政學報》，第16期，頁179-202。
- 葉俊榮，2004。〈電子化民主對民主政治發展之意涵〉，《研考雙月刊》，第28卷第4期，頁12-24。
- 蕭乃沂、黃建國，2005。〈電子民主的行政可行性：公務人員的行為觀點〉，發表於第五屆「政治與資訊科技」研討會，佛光人文社會學院主辦（4/15），台北。
- 羅晉，2004。〈網際審議式民主之實現與現實：以我國地方政府網際公共論壇為例〉，《行政暨政策學報》，第39期，頁105-142。

溫素真，2004。〈消費者使用簡訊折價券之行為意圖〉，高雄第一科技大學行銷與流通管理研究所碩士論文。

鄭仁富，2004。〈未來公民投票可考慮開放電子連署〉，
(http://www.find.org.tw/0105/news/0105_news_disp.asp?news_id=3062) visited 2005/7/10。

鄭傑升，2001。〈從民眾使用的觀點建構電子化政府推動成效評估量表〉，元智大學工業工程研究所碩士論文。

劉宗熹，2005。〈E化民主〉，
(<http://www.taskco.com.tw/kt/k12.htm>) visited 2005/7/11。

蕃薯藤，2004。〈台灣網路使用調查〉，
(<http://survey.yam.com/survey2004/chart/index.php>)
visited 2005/9/07。

二、 英文部分

Ajzen, I. (1985). "From intentions to actions: A theory of planned behavior," in Kuhl, J. & J. Beckman (eds.), *Action-control: From cognition to behavior*, pp.11-39. Heidelberg: Springer.

Ajzen, I. (1989). "Attitude Structure and Behavior," in Pratkanis, A. R., S. J. Breckler & A. G. Greenwald(eds.), *Attitude Structure and Function Hillsdale*, pp.252. N.J: Erlbaumand Associates.

Ajzen, I. (1991). "The Theory of Planned Behavior," *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Process*, 50: 179-211.

Ajzen, I. (2005). "Laws of human behavior: Symmetry, compatibility, and attitude-behavior correspondence," in Beauducel, A., B. Biehl, M. Bosniak, W. Conrad, G. Schönberger & D. Wagener (eds.), *Multivariate research strategies*, pp. 3-19. Aachen, Germany: Shaker Verlag.

Ajzen, I. & M. Fishbein (1980). *Understanding attitudes and predicting social behavior*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.

Bandura, A. (1977). "Self-efficacy: Toward a Unifying Theory of Behavioral Change," *Psychological Review*, 84(2): 191-215.

Barnes, S. J. & R. Vidgen (2004). "Interactive E-Government: Evaluating the Web Site of the UK Inland Revenue," *Journal of Electronic Commerce in Organizations*, 2(1): 42-63.

- (www.webqual.co.uk/papers/inlandrevenue.pdf) visited 2005/6/02.
- Bhattacharjee, A. (2000). "Acceptance of E-Commerce Services: The Case of Electronic Brokerages," *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics- Part A: Systems and Humans*, 30(4): 411-420.
- Bhattacharjee, A. (2001). "Understanding Information Systems Continuance: An Expectation-Confirmation Model," *MIS Quarterly*, 25(3): 351-370.
- Brants, K., M. Huizenga & R. van Meerten (1996). "The New Canals of Amsterdam: An Exercise in Local Electronic Democracy," *Media, Culture & Society*, 18: 233-247.
- Casaregola, V. & R. A. Cropf (1998). "Virtual Town Halls: Using Computer Networks to Improve Public Discourse and Facilitate Service Delivery,"
(http://www.iog.ca/policy/CP/Public%20Library/library_reference_virtual_town_halls.html) visited 2005/5/20.
- Baum, C. & A. D. Maio (2000). "Gartner's Four Phases of E-Government Model,"
(http://aln.hha.dk/IFI/Hdi/2001/ITstrat/Download/Gartner_eGovernment.pdf) visited 2005/7/6.
- Conhaim, W. W. (2000). "E-democracy gains ground," *Link – up*, 17: 5-8.
- Couper, M. P. (2000). "A Review of Issues and Approaches," *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 64(4): 464-494.
- Davis, F.D. (1989). "Perceived Usefulness, Perceived Ease of Use, and User Acceptance of Information Technology," *MIS Quarterly*, 13(3): 319-340.
- Davis, F.D., R.P. Bagozzi & P. R. Warshaw (1989). "User acceptance of computer technology: a comparison of two theoretical models," *Management Science*, 35(8): 982-1003.
- Docter, S. & W. H. Dutton (1998). "The first amendment online: Santa Monica's public electronic network," in Tsgarousianou, R., D. Tambini and C. Bryan (eds.), *Cyberdemocracy: Technology, cities and civic networks*, pp.125-151. London: Routledge.
- Fishbein, M. & I. Ajzen (1975). *Belief, attitude, intention, and behavior:*

- An introduction to theory and research*. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.
- Fisher, B., M. Margolis and D. Resnick (1994). "A New Way of Talking Politics: Democracy on the Internet,"
(http://www.eff.org/pub/Activism/E-voting/net_civics.survey)
visited 2005/5/20.
- Friis, C. S. (1997). "A Critical Evaluation of the Danish National ICT-Strategy," (<http://www.dcu.ie/communications/iegis/Friis.htm>)
visited 2005/5/20.
- Grönlund, Åke (2002). "E-democracy and e-government – state of the art,"
(<http://www.ifla.org/IV/ifla68/papers/062-090e.pdf>) visited
2005/5/18.
- Grönlund, Åke (2003). "e-Democracy: in Search of Tools and Methods for Effective Participation," *Journal of Multicriteria Decision Analysis*, 12: 93-100.
- Hacker, K. L. (1996). "Missing Links in the Evolution of Electronic Democratization," *Media, Culture & Society*, 18(2): 213-232.
- Hair, J. F., R. E. Anderson, R. L. Tatham & W. C. Black (1998) *Multivariate Data Analysis*, Prentice Hall.
- Hunt, H. K. (1977). "CS/D – Overview and Future Research Direction," in **Conceptualization and Measurement of Consumer Satisfaction and Dissatisfaction**, H. Keith Hunt, ed. Cambridge, MA: Marketing Science Institute.
- Igbaria, M., T. Guimaraes & G. B. Davis (1995). "Testing the determinants of Microcomputer usage via a structural equation model," *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 11(4): 87-114.
- Johnson, S. M. (1998). "The Internet Changes Everything: Revolutionizing Public Participation and Access to Government Information Through the Internet," *Administrative Law Review*, 50(2): 277-337.
- Jordan, B. J. (1998). "Collective Learning Online: A Report on the Information Society and Governance Project,"
(<http://www.snafu.de/~mjm/brooks4.html>) visited 2005/5/20.

- Kersten, G. E. (2003). "e-Democracy and Participatory Decision Processes: Lessons from e-Negotiation Experiments," *Journal of Multicriteria Decision Analysis*, 12: 127-143.
- Latour, S. A. & Peat, N. C. (1979). "Conceptual and Methodological Issues in Consumer Satisfaction Research," in **Advances in Consumer Research**, 6 ed. William L. Wikie. Ann Arbor MI: Association for Consumer Research, pp.431-437.
- OECD (2001). Citizens as partners: Information, Consultation and Public Participation in Policy-making.
(www1.oecd.org/publications/e-book/4201141E.PDF)
visited 2005/5/22.
- OECD (2003a). The E-government Imperative: Main Findings. Paris.
(<http://www.oecd.org/dataoecd/60/60/2502539.pdf>)
visited 2005/7/6.
- OECD (2003b). Promise and Problem of E-Democracy: Challenges of Online Citizen Engagement.
(www1.oecd.org/publications/e-book/4204011E.PDF)
visited 2005/5/22.
- Oliver, R. L. (1980). "A Cognitive Model for the Antecedents and Consequences of Satisfaction," *Journal of Marketing Research*, 17: 460-469.
- Phang, C. W., J. Sutanto, Y. Li, A. Kankanhalli (2005). "Senior Citizens' Adoption of E-Government: In Quest of the Antecedents of Perceived Usefulness,"
(<http://csdl2.computer.org/comp/proceedings/hicss/2005/2268/05/22680130a.pdf>) visited 2005/5/20.
- Planmo, M. (2005). "From Patron-Client to Client-Server: E-democracy in Tanzania?"
(www.cdt.org/egov/handbook/tanzania.pdf) visited 2005/4/20.
- Rose, G., M. Warkentin, D. Gefen & P. Pavlou (1999). "The Role and System Characteristics of Internet-Voting in the Republic of South Africa: Looking Inside a Multi-cultural, Nascent Democracy,"
(www.uic.edu/~evaristo/p3.pdf) visited 2005/5/12.
- Ruge, M. (2005). "IT and E-Democracy,"

- (www.bbc.qld.edu.au/resource/files/ITN330Report.pdf)
visited 2005/5/12.
- Schwartz, E. I. (1993). "Direct Democracy: Are You Ready for the Democracy Channel?"
(http://www.eff.org/pub/Activism/E-voting/democracy_online.article) visited 2005/5/19.
- Spreng, R. A., B. M. Scott & R. W. Olshavsky (1996). "A reexamination of the Determinants of Consumer Satisfaction," *Journal of Marketing*, 60: 15-32.
- Szajna, B. (1996). "Empirical evaluation of the revised technology acceptance model," *Management Science*, 42(1): 85-92.
- Taylor, S. & P.A. Todd (1995a). "Understanding Information Technology Usage: A Test of Competing Models," *Information Systems Research*, 6(2): 144-176.
- Taylor, S. & P.A. Todd (1995b). "Assesing IT Usage:The Role of Prior Experience," *MIS Quaterly*, 19(4):561-571.
- Torres, L., V. Pina & B. Acerete (2005). "E-Governance Developments in EU Cities. Reshaping Government Relation to Citizen,"
(<http://www.uc3m.es/uc3m/dpto/EMP/seminar/torres.pdf>)
visited 2005/7/5.
- Venkatesh, V. & Davis F. D. (1996). "A model of the antecedents of perceived ease of use: Development and Test," *Decision Sciences*, 27(3): 451-481.
- Watson R. T. & B. Mundy (1999). "A strategic perspective of electronic democracy," Association for Computing Machinery. *Communications of the ACM*, 44(1): 27-30.
- Weare, C., J. A. Musso and M. L. Hale (1999). "Electronic Democracy and the Diffusion of Municipal Web Pages in California," *Administration & Society*, 31(1): 3-27.
- White, C. S. (1997). "Citizen Participation and the Internet: Prospects for Civic Deliberation in the Information Age," *Social Studies*, 88(1): 23-28.
- Zurita, Laura (2005). "Who is out there? Experiences from a mixed mode participatory experience,"

(<http://www.trafikdage.dk/writeable/ddnpaper/Paper30.doc>)
visited 2005/4/21.

Zuurmond, A. (1994). "From Bureaucracy to Infocracy: A Tale of Two Cities," *Informatization and the Public Sector*, 3(3): 189-204.